## MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) HCP () IE () IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> () Yes (x) No
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-5001-01
4301 Vista Rd.	TWCC No.:
Pasadena, TX 77504	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address FIRE & CASUALTY INSURANCE CO OF CONNECTICUT	Date of Injury:
PO BOX 9008 ADDISON TX 750019008	Employer's Name: Hanover Compressor Company
	Insurance Carrier's No.:
Austin Commission Representative	90000073
Box 15	

#### PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	- CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То			
1/27/03	1/28/03	Surgical Admission	\$44,816.26	\$0.00

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

TWCC Rule 134.401 provides the rules regarding reimbursement for Acute Care In-patient Hospital Fee services. Specifically, reimbursement consists of 75% of remaining charges for the entire admission, after a Carrier audits a bill. See Tex. Admin. Code Section 133.401 (c). This figure is presumptively considered to be "fair and reasonable" in accordance with the preamble of TWCC Rule 134. See 22 TexReg 6265. Further, the TWCC stated that the stop-loss threshold increases hospital reimbursement and will ensure fair and reasonable rates for hospitals and ensure access to quality health care for injured workers. See id. At 6279.

The Carrier is allowed to deduct any personal items and may only deduct non-documented services and items and services, which are not related to the compensable injury. At that time, if the total audited charges for the entire admission are below \$40,000, the Carrier may reimburse at a "per diem" rate for the hospital services. However, if the total audited charges for the entire admission are at or above \$40,000, the Carrier shall reimburse using the "Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor" (SLRF). The SLRF of 75% is applied to the "entire admission."

According to the literal interpretation of the TWCC Rule 134.401 and the further clarification by the TWCC from QRL 01-03, a Carrier may not "deduct" any carve-out costs listed in Rule 134.401 (c) (4). Further, additional reimbursement for implants or any other "carve-out costs" shall only be reimbursed at cost plus 10% if the stop-loss threshold is NOT met. Therefore, in this instance, the Carrier has severely under-reimbursed the billed charges, despite the clear language in the Texas Administrative Code and further clarification provided by the TWCC in QRL 01-03.

# PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Per physician advisor determination:

Billed charges appear very excessive for the procedures listed. Review of the itemized billing reflected many charges that appeared excessive, duplicated, or unbundled

#### PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

due for this admission is equal to \$1,118 (additional reimbursement for (implantable		715.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to follows
Documentation was not provided for the i	mplantables.	
•		as of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount is due for these services. The amount paid was
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION		
Based upon the review of the disputed <b>not</b> entitled to additional reimbursement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iew Division has determined that the requestor is
Findings and Decision by:		
	Gail A. Anderson	03/10/05
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST	A HEARING	
for a hearing must be in writing and in (twenty) days of your receipt of this decare provider and placed in the Austin days after it was mailed and the first writer Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d) P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party appealing the Division's Definvolved in the dispute.	t must be received by the TWCC Chiescision (28 Texas Administrative Code Representatives box on orking day after the date the Decision v.). A request for a hearing should be selected for a faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of their will be selected on shall deliver a copy of their will be selected on the selected of th	ion and has a right to request a hearing. A request ef Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 e § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health This Decision is deemed received by you five was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 ent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, of this Decision should be attached to the request. The ritten request for a hearing to the opposing party dencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DEL	LIVERY CERTIFICATION	
I hereby verify that I received a copy of	of this Decision in the Austin Represen	entative's box.
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:
		Datc.

The total length of stay for this admission was 1 days (consisting of 1 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount